Chrome(VI) ion biosorption modelling in a fixed bed column on Dioscorea rotundata hull
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Abstract: This work aimed to evaluate the yam peel in a bed column packaged as a chromium(VI) ion adsorbent in an aqueous solution. Yam peel was used as adsorbent, prior washing, drying, size reduction, and selection. The experimental work consisted in determining the effect of bed depth, particle size, and temperature, keeping inlet flow = 0.75 cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, pH = 2 and initial concentration of 100 mg∙dm$^{-3}$. The Adsorption Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDS) analysis on yam (Dioscorea rotundata) peel showed a heterogeneous, porous structure, with functional groups characteristic in lignocellulosic materials. It was analysed regarding the influence of temperature, bed height, and adsorbent particle size on the removal efficiency; it was found that the decrease of particle size and the increase of the bed height favour the elimination of the metallic ion, with removal rates between 92.4 and 98.3%. The bed maximum adsorption capacity was 61.75 mg∙g$^{-1}$, and break time of 360 min. The break curve's adjustment to the Thomas, Yoon–Nelson, Dose–Response and Adams–Bohart models was evaluated, concluding that the Yoon–Nelson and Dose–Response models best described the behaviour of the break curve with a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.95 and 0.96, respectively. The results show that the bio-adsorbent studied can be used to eliminate Cr(VI) in a continuous system.
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INTRODUCTION

Chromium (Cr) compounds are among the most common environmental pollutants due to their extensive industrial applications [CHINEU ET AL. 2018]. The trivalent [Cr(III)] and hexavalent [Cr(VI)] oxidation states of chromium are the most stable and abundant in the natural environment; however, they differ significantly in their physicochemical properties, bioavailability, and toxicity to living organisms [SUKUMAR ET AL. 2017].

Hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] is one of the most harmful heavy metals and is the third most common pollutant in hazardous waste effluents, and is the most carcinogenic substance [ARANDA-GARCÍA, CRISTIANI-URBINA 2020]. In addition, Cr(VI) is highly soluble in water, movable in aqueous systems, and toxic, causing severe physiological and neurological effects on human and animal health, such as anaemia, diarrhoea, nausea, epigastric pain, ulcers, vomiting, eye and skin irritation, injury to nerve tissues, kidney and liver, lung congestion, internal bleeding, and circulatory system failure [SRIVASTAVA ET AL. 2019]. It is also highly mutagenic, as it can induce DNA damage and congenital disabilities, affect gene expression, and reduce reproductive health [ACHMAD ET AL. 2017]. Furthermore, Cr(VI) is toxic to plants since it seriously affects several biochemical processes, physiological and morphological, leading to slow growth, chlorosis, and necrosis.

Therefore, removing Cr(VI) from industrial effluents is important before discharge to aquatic environments or land. Biosorption is presented as an effective alternative to remove Cr(VI) from wastewater [MARTÍN-LARA ET AL. 2017]. Thus, various conventional physical, chemical, and biological technologies have
been implemented to remediate Cr(VI) contaminated wastewater and contaminated water, and avoid or reduce its adverse effects on human health and the environment [Parlatovic, Pehlivan 2019]. These include reverse osmosis, ion exchange, oxidation, photocatalysis, heterogeneous catalysis, and ultrafiltration [Bhanvase et al. 2017]. However, in many cases, these are inconvenient due to their low efficiency at low concentrations, costs of raw materials and energy consumption, generation of toxic by-products, and the difficulties in their handling and treatment limit the use of conventional methods in industrial applications [Bhanvase et al. 2017].

Biosorption has been considered a promising and attractive technology to remove Cr(VI) from polluted waters in an efficient, competitive, economic, and ecological way [Sahu, Singh 2019]. Some of the advantages of biosorption are low cost, high efficiency, minimisation of chemical and biological sludge, no need for additional nutrients, regeneration of biosorbents, and the possibility of metal recovery [Sukumar et al. 2017]. However, most of the research on Cr(VI) removal by biosorption has been done in batch systems because they can be applied with effortless ease on a laboratory scale but challenging to use on a large scale, mainly when the volume of industrial effluent requiring treatment is large [Elabas et al. 2016]. In addition, data from batch systems may not apply to continuous fixed-bed column operations, where the contact time is not long enough to reach equilibrium [Cherechcho et al. 2019]. Therefore, it is crucial to determine the practical applicability of a biosorbent in the continuous operation mode [Bharathi, Ramesh 2013]. However, in large-scale processes, fixed-bed column systems are preferred because of their high effectiveness, simplicity of operation, low cost, and ability to be expanded from a laboratory process to produce higher quality effluent [Jafari, Jamlali 2016].

Agricultural residues are commonly used as heavy metal adsorbents because they are mainly composed of proteins, polysaccharides, and lignin [Ronda et al. 2015]. Since very little information is found in the literature on bio-adsorption scaling, the objective of this work was to evaluate the effects of different parameters (temperature, bed height, and particle size) on the removal of Cr(VI) by yam shells in a continuous bed system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MATERIALS AND REAGENTS

All the chemicals and reagents used in this work were of analytical reactive grade. Potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) was used to prepare the chrome solution at 100 mg·dm⁻³. HCl and NaOH 1 M were used to adjust the pH of the solution.

The yam (Dioscorea rotundata) peel was obtained as a by-product of post-harvest handling. The biomass with the best condition was selected to guarantee its lignocellulosic nature, and washed with deionised water, and dried in a Lauda Alpha oven at 60°C for 24 hours. Size reduction was made in a roller mill; size classification was made in an Edibon Orto Alresa shaker type sieve using stainless steel sieves of mesh sizes 100, 45, 35, 18, and 16, to select particle sizes proposed in the design of experiments. The bio-adsorbent was examined by Scanning Electronic Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive Spectrometry (EDS) to study its morphology and elemental composition before and after the adsorption [Bibaj et al. 2019].

METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

The adsorption tests in a continuous system were carried out following a design of experiments with a continuous linear factor in response surface of the central compound. The study used Statgraphics Centurion XVI. II. This type of design of experiments allows to analyse the effect variables produce when varying them simultaneously on the dependent variable. The study involved a limited number of experiments without the need for replicas, and response surface mapping [Demirel, Kayan 2012]. Particle size, adsorbent dose, and temperature were varied for a total of 16 experiments per bio-adsorbent. Table 1 summarises the range and levels of variation implemented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Range and level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size (mm)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed height (mm)</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations: −α and +α = values below and over the range provided by Statgraphics Centurios, respectively; −1 and +1 = the lowest and higher value supplied to the software during experimental design, and 0 is the centre point.

Source: own study.

COLUMN ADSORPTION TESTS

The synthetic solution of Cr(VI) was prepared by adding 0.283 g K₂Cr₂O₇ per dm³ of deionised water for a concentration of 100 mg·dm⁻³. Adsorption tests were performed in equipment with acrylic columns in which the solution flowed by the action of gravity with a flow rate of 0.75 cm³·s⁻¹ at pH 2. The concentration of Cr(VI) was determined by taking a sample at the exit of the column; a UV-VIS spectrophotometer was used at 540 nm, Biobase brand, using 1.5 diphenyl carbazide indicator, according to ASTM D1687-17 [Sukumar et al. 2017]. Adsorption efficiency (AE, in %) was determined by Equation (1):

\[
AE = \frac{C_0 - C_i}{C_0} \times 100
\]

where: \(C_0\) = initial concentration of the test metal and \(C_i\) = concentration of the test metal after the adsorption process [Ardolali et al. 2017].

The Statgraphics Centurios software was used to study the effect of temperature, particle size, and bed height through analysis of variance and effect diagrams to establish the statistical significance of the evaluated variables on removal efficiency.
MODELLING OF THE BREAKAGE CURVE

The modelling of the break curve was done at the best experimental condition found, and the data obtained fit the Adams–Bohart, Thomas, Yoon–Nelson, and Dose–Response models, summarised in Table 2. These models allow the analysis of Cr(VI)’s dynamic adsorption rate, bed service time, and process breakage time [RODRIGUES et al. 2019]. The adjustment of the models was established according to the correlation coefficient ($R^2$).

Table 2. Adjustment models for the rupture curve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Parameter explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams–Bohart (AB)</td>
<td>$C = \frac{\exp(K_{AB}C_0t)}{\exp(\frac{K_{AB}C_0}{v}) - 1 + \exp(K_{AB}C_0t)}$</td>
<td>$K_{AB} =$ model constant (dm$^3$ mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$); $N_0 =$ maximum volumetric adsorption capacity (mg·dm$^{-3}$); $v =$ linear flow speed (dm·min$^{-1}$); $L =$ bed depth (dm); $C_0 =$ initial concentration of contaminant (mg·dm$^{-3}$); $C =$ concentration of the effluent (mg·dm$^{-3}$); $t =$ residence time of the solution in the column (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas (Th)</td>
<td>$C = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{K_{Th}C_0}{\tau}X - C_0 V\right)}$</td>
<td>$K_{Th} =$ Thomas’ constant (cm$^3$ (mg·min$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$); $q_R =$ maximum concentration of solute in the solid phase (mg·g$^{-1}$); $X =$ amount of adsorbent in the column (g); $Q =$ flow speed (cm$^3$·min$^{-1}$); $V =$ volume of the effluent at the time of operation (dm$^3$); $\tau =$ Yoon–Nelson proportionality constant (min$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon–Nelson (YN)</td>
<td>$C = \frac{\exp(K_{YN}t - \tau K_{YN})}{1 + \exp(K_{YN}t - \tau K_{YN})}$</td>
<td>$K_{YN} =$ Yoon–Nelson proportionality constant (min$^{-1}$); $\tau =$ time required to retain 50% of the initial adsorbate (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose–Response (DR)</td>
<td>$C = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{a Q t}{X}\right)}}{1 + \left(\frac{a Q t}{X}\right)}$</td>
<td>$a =$ model constant; $q_R =$ adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mg·g$^{-1}$); $X =$ amount of adsorbent in the column (g); $Q_t =$ flow rate (cm$^3$·min$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: adapted from ARM et al. [2018].

The adjustment of parameters to the break curve models was obtained by non-linear regression. The experimental capacity of the column for each breakage curve was determined using Equation (2).

$$Q_{tot} = \frac{Q}{1000m} \int_0^{t_s} C_t - C_0 dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where: $Q_{tot} =$ concentration of Cr(VI) ion in the adsorbent (mg·g$^{-1}$); $C_0 =$ concentration of the ion or ions in the feed-in aqueous solution; $C_t =$ output concentration of the ions studied in the aqueous solution (mg·dm$^{-3}$); $t_s =$ time taken for the cake to convert the initial concentration of the ion studied into the final concentration (min); $Q =$ flow rate (cm$^3$·min$^{-1}$); $m =$ mass of the cake packed in the column (g).

RESULTS

CHARACTERISATION OF THE BIO-ADSORBENT

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) microphotographs and Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDS) spectra of the yam shell before and after chromium(VI) adsorption are shown in Figure 1. After the adsorption process, the metal ion coverage of the bio-adsorbent pores is observed [KUPPUSAMY et al. 2016].

Table 3 summarises the composition of yam shells before and after the Cr(VI) adsorption from EDS. The EDS spectrum showed that yam shells have diverse structure rich in carbon (47.40% w/w), oxygen (43.20% w/w), and potassium (4.46% w/w). Moreover, the study discovered traces of such elements as silicon, aluminium, phosphorus, and iron, which can be related to functional groups present in lignocellulosic materials (cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and pectin) [CORRAL-ESCARCEGA et al. 2017]. The adsorbent has the ability to capture cations due to electrostatic forces, and white particles are observed on micrographs [DENG et al. 2020]. After the adsorption, it is presented in the EDS, with the creation of the characteristic peak of Cr(VI) in 1, 5.6, and 5.8 keV, as well as the decrease of the % in weight of elements such as O, Si, K, and Fe, which could be explained by the interaction of these elements with metallic ions in active centres of the bio-adsorbent through ion exchange. This promotes the formation of micro-complexes and precipitation [MEDELLI-CASTELLO et al. 2017].

ADSORPTION TESTS

After conducting the Cr(VI) adsorption test on yam peels, the effect of temperature, particle size, and adsorbent dose on the process was evaluated. The metal removal efficiency was calculated using Equation (1). The tests were conducted at a constant flow rate of 0.75 cm$^3$·s$^{-1}$ with an initial contaminant concentration of 100 mg·dm$^{-3}$. The results are summarised in Figures 2, 3, and 4. A maximum removal rate of 98.3% was obtained. This result found that the best condition for removing chromium(VI) was 0.355 of particle size, 55°C, and 89 mm of bed height.
The results show that biomass is very effective at adsorbing Cr(VI) ions on its surface due to functional groups involved in ion exchange with the contaminant due to its lignocellulosic nature and porous structure. It has been previously reported that the yam shell is rich in cellulose and hemicellulose, compounds that have in their structure multiple hydroxyl, carboxyl and carbonyl groups, which behave as active centres and influence the process of heavy metal removal [KUPPUSAMY et al. 2016].

The selectivity of the yam shell for Cr(VI) at the temperature, bed height, and particle size evaluated can be explained as a function of the ionic radius of 0.69, which benefits the diffusion of the metal in a solution and at active sites.
MODELLING OF THE BREAKAGE CURVE

The breakage curve is made to evaluate the bed saturation time. It is usually expressed in terms of concentration, that is, as the ratio between the metal concentration in the liquid at the exit and the particle diameter when the particle diameter is lower [Malkoc, Nuhoglu 2006].

Table 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for chromium(VI) adsorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Ratio-F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: particle size</td>
<td>18.677</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>0.0493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: temperature</td>
<td>11.6099</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: bed height</td>
<td>34.9056</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.52179</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.3242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.646872</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.5932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>1.2482</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.4685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>3.21756</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.2759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.34019</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.6948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>11.8487</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total error</td>
<td>5.46544</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (corr.)</td>
<td>161.657</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation: DF = degrees of freedom.
Source: own study.

Fig. 5. Adjustment to breakage curve models of Cr (VI) adsorption data to Adams–Bohart, Thomas, Yoon–Nelson and Dose–Response models; source: own elaboration

reported by Ruiz-Paternina et al. [2019]. The application of yam, banana, and palm bagasse residues achieved an adsorption capacity for Cr(VI) of 28.01, 18.25, and 49.58 mg·g⁻¹. The adsorption capacity of 29.85 mg·g⁻¹ was obtained [Villabona-Ortiz et al. 2020]. The breakdown curve made for Cr(VI) adsorption shows that the highest removal of the metal ion occurs in the first moments of contact with the bio-adsorbent. It also indicates a breakpoint close to 360 min, showing a trend in decreasing adsorption capacity due to the gradual occupation of active sites available [Rangabhashiyam et al. 2016]. However, the balance is not reached, so it is established that the yam shell has a high capacity of Cr(VI) adsorption, so its use is recommended [Mishra et al. 2016].

Based on Figure 5 and the data shown in Table 5, it can be concluded that models that best describe the adsorption breakdown curve of Cr(VI) on yam shells are Dose–Response and Yoon–Nelson with R² of 0.96 and 0.95, respectively. The theoretical value of maximum bed adsorption capacity calculated by the model is close to the experimental one, which shows the excellent fit of the Dose–Response model [Blanes et al. 2016].
K. crassipes proportionality, evidenced in the SEM micrographs reported in Figure 1 [S RIVAS et al. 2017]. The removal efficiency of Cr(VI) was between 92.4 and 98.3%, to scale the system with this model [V ERA et al. 2019]. It was found that the increase of the bed height and the decrease of the particle size positively impact the process. The maximum capacity of adsorption was obtained in the bed of 61.75 mg·g⁻¹ with a break time of 360 min, at the best conditions found: 0.355 of particle size, 55°C, and 89 mm of bed height.

3. The Yoon–Nelson and Dose–Response models adjusted the experimental data of the $R^2$ breaking curve by 0.95 and 0.96, respectively.

5. Based on the results obtained, the bio-adsorbent evaluated is recommended to remove Cr(VI) in an aqueous solution in a continuous system.

**Table 5. Adjustment parameters of the breaking curve models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>$K_{th}$ (cm³ (mg·min⁻¹))</td>
<td>0.6718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_{th}$ (mg·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>15.6667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.8924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose–Response</td>
<td>$q_{D–R}$ (mg·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>62.1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>0.6725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon–Nelson</td>
<td>$K_{YN}$ (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r$ (min)</td>
<td>533.6739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams–Bohart</td>
<td>$K_{AB}$ (dm³ (mg·min⁻¹))</td>
<td>5368.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_0$ (mg·dm⁻³)</td>
<td>154654.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations: $K_{th}$ = Thomas’ speed constant, $q_{th}$ = maximum concentration of solute in the solid phase, $SS$ = sum of squares, $R^2$ = coefficient of correlation, $q_{D–R}$ = maximum solute concentration in the solid phase, $a$ = Dose–Response model constant, $K_{Y–N}$ = Yoon–Nelson’s constant of proportionality, $r = time$ required to retain 50% of the initial adsorbate, $K_{A–B} = Adams$–Bohart’s constant of proportionality, $N_0$ = maximum volumetric sorption capacity, $R^2$ = determination coefficient.

Source: own study.

However, it is difficult to relate the empirical parameter “$a$” to the experimental conditions studied in this system, making it difficult to scale the system with this model [VIRA et al. 2019]. On the other hand, the adjustment of the Yoon–Nelson’s model suggests that the mechanism of adsorption is of the Langmuir type, followed by chemical adsorption of pseudo-second-order, which is evident in the precipitations and formation of micro-complexes evidenced in the SEM micrographs reported in Figure 1 [SRIVASTAVA et al. 2019]. The fit of the break curve to the Yoon–Nelson and Dose–Response models has been previously reported using epichlorohydrin and N, N-dimethylformamide modified cornstalks as adsorbents [CHEN et al. 2012], mountain oak [ALKOC et al. 2017], and Quercus crassipes [ARANDA-GARCÍA, CRISTIANI-URBINA 2020].

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. The removal efficiency of Cr(VI) was between 92.4 and 98.3%, which shows the affinity of the yam shell for the ion.
2. The Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDS) analysis of yam shells showed a heterogeneous, porous structure, with functional groups, such as hydroxyl, carboxyl, carbonyl, and amines, characteristic of lignocellulosic materials.

3. The Yoon–Nelson and Dose–Response models adjusted the experimental data of the $R^2$ breaking curve by 0.95 and 0.96, respectively.

4. Based on the results obtained, the bio-adsorbent evaluated is recommended to remove Cr(VI) in an aqueous solution in a continuous system.
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